

REMARKS

The above-identified application was allowed in the Notice of Allowability dated February 11, 2005.

The claims are being re-presented in the instant Amendment after Allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 in order to correct an apparent typographical error in the presentation of amended claim 37 in the Examiner's Amendment included at page 2 of the Notice of Allowability dated February 11, 2005.

In particular, in the Examiner's Amendment dated February 11, 2005, the Examiner changed the dependency of claim 37 from claim 39 to claim 38. Applicants believe that this was a typographical error on the part of the Examiner because such a change was not agreed to by Applicants' undersigned attorney in the telephone interview held on February 7, 2005. Moreover, such a change would render claim 37 identical to amended claim 36. As a result, the instant Amendment after Allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 is being filed to correct this apparent typographical error in the Examiner's Amendment by returning the dependency of claim 37 to its proper claim 39.

Accordingly, the re-presentation of claims in the instant paper is fully supported by the specification and drawings, will not require an additional search, and does not raise new issues. Moreover, this re-presentation is not being made to distinguish over any prior art. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that this re-presentation of the claims be entered and the requested changes made.

Statement of the Substance of Examiner Interview

Applicants undersigned representative spoke by telephone with Examiner Hai Pham on February 7, 2005 and authorized particular claim amendments and cancellations as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment included at pages 2-3 of the Notice of Allowability dated February 11, 2005 except for the Examiner's change of the dependency of claim 37, as discussed above, which appears to be a typographical error in the Examiner's Amendment.

Request for Acceptance of Formal Drawings

At page 1 of the Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37) dated February 11, 2005, Examiner Pham indicates that the "drawings filed on March 28, 2001 are accepted by the Examiner." This refers to the informal drawings that were included with the initial filing of this application. Applicants respectfully submit that Formal Drawings were filed in this application on July 6, 2001. It does not appear that the Examiner has commented on these Formal Drawings to date in this application. **Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner indicate whether or not the Formal Drawings filed on July 6, 2001 are accepted in the next Office Communication.**

CONCLUSION

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required,

including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Date: March 7, 2005

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul A. Fournier", written over a horizontal line.

Paul A. Fournier

Reg. No. 41,023

CUSTOMER NO.: 009629

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202.739.3000